



# The Internet: Beyond Earth Orbit

## Interplanetary Networking for Advanced Robotic and Human Missions

*Steve Braham*

*NASA Haughton-Mars Project Canadian PI and Chief Field Engineer*


*Senior Researcher, CSA MarsCanada, Telematics Research Lab, Simon Fraser University, Canada*

*Peter Anderson (CSA MarsCanada PI, Director SFU TRL)*

*Pascal Lee (NASA HMP PI, NASA Ames, SETI Institute, Mars Institute)*

*Brian Glass (NASA HMP Chief Engineer, NASA Ames)*

# Taking the Internet Beyond Earth Orbit



Pure IP, the Space Internet, and Integration

# IP in Deep Space

- ◆ IP provides a platform capable of integrating many space communications needs
  - ◆ Deep Space Networking
  - ◆ DSN to researcher
  - ◆ Planetary orbital packet relaying and routing
  - ◆ On-board spacecraft systems (human and robotic), constellations, formations
  - ◆ Surface wireless communications
- ◆ Integration highly driven by IETF, IEEE, and other standards process
  - ◆ Integration maximized by not using a single fix or protocol
  - ◆ Relays, DSN systems, etc, must move away from store-and-forward methodologies to increase protocol pass-thru.
- ◆ If done, promises:
  - ◆ Reduced costs, increased stability
  - ◆ Standard, and lack of store and forward, allows international collaboration and buy-in

# Issues in Deep Space

- ◆ Latency
  - ◆ Means some protocols won't work for certain applications
  - ◆ Not a reason not to use other IP protocols where they work
  - ◆ Real-time (continuous) still important for many reasons – another problem with store and forward
- ◆ Weak signals
  - ◆ Drives to high BER and packet loss
  - ◆ Not all applications need a low packet loss
  - ◆ Not a reason to choose low packet loss protocols for everything

# SFU PolyLAB (a TRL unit): Connected Human Intelligence in Space Exploration

- ◆ Issues for Human Spaceflight Communications:
  - ◆ Extensive communication required for scientific field exploration
  - ◆ Mission operations requires complex modalities in Human missions
  - ◆ Purely robotic comms/traditional spacecraft solutions don't work for Human Missions

# PolyLAB Deep Space, Planning, Operations, Computing and Communications

- ◆ Systems in field that fully emulates effect of Earth-Mars IP communication link, including delay and packet loss
- ◆ Use normal mail client protocols (IMAP, POP3) to deliver and read mail on local mail servers.
- ◆ Use a special but standard UDP-based (MDPv2) protocol to move messages between “Earth and Mars”. Recently tested by NASA Goddard on STS-107.
- ◆ Video, Audio, Real-time Telemetry: use conventional UDP protocols, instead of IPN/SCPS robotics-oriented protocols. More appropriate to Human Missions.
- ◆ Open to whatever file transfer protocols meet application needs (and there’s not just one file transfer need)



# CSA MarsCanada: Internet Beyond Earth Orbit

- ◆ Supports Mars analogue research in Canada
- ◆ Developing new planetary exploration communications and computing systems, significantly in advance of other space agencies' systems.
- ◆ Test in a hostile, Mars-like, environment
- ◆ Driven by the the exploration process of Humans, sometimes aided by robotics. Driven by their requirements.

# NASA Haughton-Mars Project

- ◆ International collaboration: CSA/NASA/SFU/CRC/SETI Institute/Mars Institute.
- ◆ HMP PI: Pascal Lee (NASA/SETI Institute/Mars Institute)
- ◆ Canadian High Arctic.
  - ◆ Twenty km Crater, 23 Mya
  - ◆ Mars-like!
- ◆ Exploration technology studies, Humans on Mars focus
- ◆ Biggest Mars Analog exploration project in the world – roughly 150 researchers per year involved. Large press coverage.
- ◆ Field Engineering Management by SFU and CSA MarsCanada.



# Mars, on Devon Island

- ◆ Canadian High Arctic
- ◆ Twenty km Crater, 23 Mya
- ◆ Hostile, permafrost, barren, bears
- ◆ Mars-like!
- ◆ Astrobiology
- ◆ Geology
- ◆ Exploration technology studies
- ◆ Biggest Mars Analog exploration project in the world – roughly 150 researchers per year
- ◆ Field Engineering Management by SFU and CSA  
MarsCanada

# HMP Exploration Technology Studies

- ◆ Robotics
- ◆ Telemedicine
- ◆ Mission Control
- ◆ Field operations
- ◆ Internal spacecraft comms and computing
- ◆ Spacesuit comms and computing
- ◆ System security, robustness, interoperability

# Mars-like Terrain on Devon Island!





Moon-like, too



Arthur Clark Mars  
Greenhouse

Shower(!)

Kitchen Tent

Exploration Technology  
Research Tent

← Pee Drums (you don't want to know)


← Poop Tents (you REALLY don't want to know)

← Us (we don't want to be bear food)





# Radio/SatCom Integrated via IP





# Inside the Planetnet Uplink Facility: IP Integrated



# Telemetry and Robotics

- ◆ Return of data from remote instruments via IP
- ◆ GPS Data via IP
- ◆ Command and Control via IP
- ◆ Potential NASA Scout Mission development using IP





# Mobile!

Communications from military and other vehicles: Complex modalities, IP integrated



# The problems with TCP/IP: Conventional Internet

- ◆ TCP/IP waits for signals to come back to decide if packets are being lost.
- ◆ Waits a certain amount of time - time designed for standard networks.
- ◆ Assumes any problems with lost packets are due to congestion (too many packets), and slows down!

# Solutions: Internet is not the same as TCP/IP

- ◆ Don't use TCP/IP -- UDP (constant streaming) for video is used a lot, even on the Internet.
- ◆ MDP – UDP/IP protocol for file transfer across long-delay networks (US Navy, UN, US Post Office)
- ◆ CFDP can work over UDP. Good for different applications.
- ◆ Finding the best protocols to support different applications
- ◆ Developing a focus on long-term needs, and the requirements for advanced robotic and Human missions.
- ◆ Store and forward fixes protocols. BAD THING.

# The Problem with SCPS: Why we've moved away from it.

- ◆ Solution without a problem – present *appropriate* IP-based solutions perform within required performance levels for interplanetary missions
- ◆ Solution without a research community – professional research is IP, ATM, and other industrial solution performance. Thousands of new researchers graduated per year.
- ◆ Solution without a quality implementation – Lots of great researchers but proprietary solutions will never have the same implementation quality as IPv4 and IPv6 stacks. For every packet that goes through a Space Internet, a billion will go through the conventional Internet, with standard transfer protocols. Deep analysis and understanding.
- ◆ Solution without compatibility – IP-based solutions designed around performance-based interoperability. SCPS, even in interfacing to IP-based solutions, does not play the game of appropriate network behaviour. Looking like TCP/IP doesn't count.
- ◆ BUT: Great concepts, and a lot has been learned. CFDP still a great option, over UDP. But we need to move on and keep with the times.




# XANTHE: Computing for Mars using IP

Services automatically  
Routed to user anywhere in  
Spacecraft, or field, via IP

Advanced  
Thin-client

Smart Card  
Hot-Desking  
IP-based

Robust, Powerful,  
Small Server



# Operations Centre on Mars: HMP Base Camp: XANTHE Uses MDPv2 for Files





# Global Communication: HMP<->NASA JSC and Ames: UDP video and audio



# Spacesuit Exploration Support Computer

Head-mounted  
Display, advanced  
Wearable computer

- GIS, GPS
- Next-generation voice control
- Wireless interface to regional network and spacecraft computers
- Remote command and control
- All Integrated with pure IP

Safety Radio




# Application layer

- ◆ Database access: access and update of information.
- ◆ XML standards/translation services
- ◆ Distributed computing
- ◆ Voice input and output
- ◆ Regional, space, network management
- ◆ All well supported by UDP and ATM, and IP in local field environment



# Getting to the Science Around the Bend







# IP-based Radio Technology

- ◆ IEEE 802.11b DS: spread spectrum single-carrier
- ◆ PlanetNet 1: Spread spectrum, but each “symbol” is coded for advanced multipath behaviour.
- ◆ Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing: advanced 4th generation wireless comms. Data transmitted over multiple frequencies simultaneously
  - ◆ IEEE 802.11a and 802.11g: Baby OFDM, with 52 carriers
  - ◆ PlanetNet 2: Large-scale OFDM, with 256 carriers, symbol coding, and sophisticated signal processing. Can operate out of line of sight, and not CSMA/CD-based, so high-performance in large latency and multipath environments. Exploration region. Best performance.
- ◆ All IP COTS techniques, with billions of dollars of R&D investment behind them. Not something we should repeat for proprietary protocols.
- ◆ Many more wireless comms options needed than DSN options. Must not let latter drive former.

Not all COTS work: 802.11b Not For Mars!



# W-OFDM system: Not something we want to redo as a special CCSDS standard





Emerging FPGAs make such advanced systems increasingly possible to implement for Deep Space Environment

# IEEE IP Standards Moving Fast

- ◆ Java 1.4 supports IPv6, SSL, Kerberos, UDP Multicast
- ◆ IEEE 802.16 new emerging standard for long-range, non-line-of-sight comms in high multipath environments, utilizes IP over OFDM. QOS.
- ◆ DVB-RCS: emerging standard for satellite networking, utilizing IP for customer level, and ATM-based and/or IP-based S/C processing
- ◆ Magnitudes more funding in COTS and near-COTS WLAN Research than entire NASA R&D Comms Research budget
- ◆ Ditto for IP Routing, Mobile IP, IPv6, Distributed Computing via IP
- ◆ Ditto for commercial IP over Satcom
- ◆ MarsCanada deploys COTS IP technologies already roughly EIGHT MAGNITUDES better in performance than expected Proximity-1 type CCSDS technologies in Mars-like multipath environment (300 times data rate, 300,000 times surface area coverage, for given power).  
Increasing in performance.
- ◆ **CCSDS via proprietary NASA standards will never catch up, or be compatible with advanced COTS technologies**



# Wireless Computing In and Outside Large Spacecraft



Reflections from structure may require next-generation wireless IP technologies

# Conclusions

- ◆ Time to take the Internet BEO!
- ◆ Appropriate use of COTS or proprietary communication solutions requires understanding of planetary exploration field environment through actual long-range traversing missions and analog field studies.
- ◆ Integrated systems studies, such as NASA HMP and CSA MarsCanada, required to determine appropriate network protocols and application layers. Testing defines solutions.
- ◆ Present proprietary CCSDS Standards not appropriate for advanced planetary exploration missions, but concepts important.
- ◆ Emerging IEEE and IETF standards-based IP solutions steadily reaching and surpassing performance levels required.
- ◆ **CCSDS should evolve to reach, and utilize, industry standards for advanced regional communications. Move to an industry-standard based approach instead of proprietary solution. Then a new CCSDS can take a Space Internet Beyond Earth Orbit!**